

### Tutorial 3

1. (i) Markov process but not a martingale

$$E_n[f(S_{n+1})] = pf(us_n) + qf(ds_n) = g(S_n)$$

where  $g$  is set to be  $g(x) = pf(ax) + qf(dx)$ .

$\{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is Markov process.

However,  $E_n[S_{n+1}] = puS_n + qdS_n = (pu + qd)S_n$

unless  $pu + qd = 1$  otherwise  $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is not martingale.

(ii) Martingale but not a Markov process

The process  $Y_0 = S_0$ ,  $Y_N = S_0 + S_1 + \dots + S_N$ , and  $Z_n = E_n[Y_N]$

For  $N \geq m \geq n$ ,  $E_n[Z_m] = E_n[E_m[Y_N]] = E_n[Z_n] = Z_n$ .

$\{Z_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is martingale.

However, if we take  $N=2$ , then  $Z_2 - Z_1 = S_0 + S_1 + S_2 - E_1(S_0 + S_1 + S_2)$   
 $= S_2 - E_1(S_2)$

$E_1(Z_2 - Z_1)^2 = E_1(S_2 - E_1(S_2))^2 = E_1(S_2 - S_1(pu + qd))^2 = S_1^2 [p(u - (pu + qd))^2 + q(d - (pu + qd))^2]$   
 $\stackrel{\text{set of}}{=} f(S_1)$

Also,  $E_1 Z_1^2 - Z_1^2 = E_1(Z_1^2 - Z_1 Z_2) + E_1 Z_1(Z_2 - Z_1) = E_1(Z_2 - Z_1)(Z_2 - Z_1) + 2E_1 Z_1(Z_2 - Z_1)$   
 $= E_1(Z_2 - Z_1)^2 + 2Z_1 E_1(Z_2 - Z_1) = E_1(Z_2 - Z_1)^2$

then  $E_1(Z_2^2) = Z_1^2 + f(S_1) \neq g(Z_1)$  If  $Z_1 = f(S_1)$ ,  $Z_1 = S_0 + S_1 + E_1(S_2)$   
 $f(S_1) = h(S_1) = S_0 + h(S_1)$   
Contradiction

$$2. \quad p = \frac{1}{2}, \quad X_j = \pm 1, \quad M_0 = 0, \quad M_n = \sum_{j=1}^n X_j, \quad I_n = \sum_{j=1}^n M_j (M_{j+1} - M_j)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 2I_n &= 2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} M_j (M_{j+1} - M_j) = 2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} M_j M_{j+1} - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} M_j^2 - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} M_j^2 \\ &= 2 \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} M_j M_{j+1} + M_n^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} M_j^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} M_j^2 = M_n^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (M_{j+1} - M_j)^2 \\ &= M_n^2 - \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} X_{j+1}^2 = M_n^2 - n \end{aligned}$$

Then  $(M_n) = \sqrt{2I_n + n} \stackrel{d}{=} h(I_n)$ . also

$$I_{n+1} - I_n = M_n (M_{n+1} - M_n) = M_n X_{n+1} \Rightarrow I_{n+1} = I_n + M_n X_{n+1}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_n[I_{n+1}] &= E_n[I_n + M_n X_{n+1}] = \frac{1}{2} I_n + M_n \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (I_n + h(I_n)) + \frac{1}{2} (I_n - h(I_n)) = g(I_n) \end{aligned}$$

$I_n$  is Markov process.

$$3. \quad 2.9(i) \quad u_0 = 2, \quad d_0 = \frac{1}{2}, \quad u_1(H) = 1.5, \quad u_1(T) = 1, \quad d_1(H) = 1, \quad d_1(T) = 1$$

$$\tilde{p}_0 = \frac{1 + S_0 - d_0}{u_0 - d_0} = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \tilde{q}_0 = \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{similarly} \quad \hat{p}_1(H) = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \tilde{q}_1(H) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\hat{p}_1(T) = \frac{1}{6}, \quad \tilde{q}_1(T) = \frac{5}{6} \quad \text{Therefore, } \tilde{p}(HH) = \tilde{p}_0 \tilde{p}_1(H) = \frac{1}{4}, \quad \tilde{p}(HT) = \frac{1}{4}$$

$$\hat{p}(TH) = \frac{1}{12}, \quad \hat{p}(TT) = \frac{5}{12}$$

$$(ii) \quad V_2(HH) = 5, \quad V_2(HT) = 1, \quad V_2(TH) = 1, \quad V_2(TT) = 0, \quad \text{then}$$

$$V_1(H) = \frac{\hat{p}_1(T) V_2(TH) + \tilde{q}_1(T) V_2(TT)}{1 + q_1(T)} = 2.4, \quad V_1(T) = \frac{1}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad V_0 = 1$$

2.14.

(i) For any  $n \leq M$ ,  $(S_n, Y_n) = (S_n, 0)$  which is Markov under risk-neutral measure

$$\text{For } n \geq M+1, \text{ we have } \tilde{E}_n[g(S_{n+1}, Y_{n+1})] = \tilde{p}g(nS_n, Y_n + 2S_n) + \tilde{q}g(nS_n + Y_n + dS_n) \\ = h(S_n, Y_n), \quad \text{where}$$

$$h(S, Y) = \tilde{p}g(nS, Y + 2S) + \tilde{q}g(nS, Y + dS)$$

(ii) (a) If  $n \rightarrow M$ , then  $\tilde{E}_n [V_{n+1}(S_{n+1}, Y_{n+1})] = V_n(S_{n+1}, Y_{n+1})$

$$\text{where } V_n(S, Y) = \tilde{p} V_{n+1}(uS, Y + dS) + \tilde{q} V_{n+1}(dS, Y + dS)$$

(b) If  $n = M$ , then  $\tilde{E}_n [V_{n+1}(S_{n+1}, Y_{n+1})] = V_n(S_n)$

$$\text{and } V_n(S) = \tilde{p} V_{n+1}(uS, uS) + \tilde{q} V_{n+1}(dS, dS)$$

(c) If  $n < M$ , then  $\tilde{E}_n [V_{n+1}(S_{n+1})] = V_n(S_n)$ , where

$$V_n(S) = \tilde{p} V_{n+1}(uS) + \tilde{q} V_{n+1}(dS)$$

Ex 2.5.

(i) Proved by Question 2.

(ii) Proved by Question 2.

Ex 3.3

$$M_2(HH) = 32 \times \frac{2}{3} + 8 \times \frac{1}{3} = 24, \quad M_2(HT) = 8 \times \frac{2}{3} + 2 \times \frac{1}{3} = 6,$$

$$M_2(TH) = 6, \quad M_2(TT) = 1.5, \quad M_1(H) = 24 \times \frac{2}{3} + 6 \times \frac{1}{3} = 18, \quad M_1(T) = 4.5$$

$$M_0 = \frac{2}{3} \times 18 + \frac{1}{3} \times 4.5 = 12 + 1.5 = 13.5$$

We can see that  $\tilde{E}_n [M_{n+1}] = \tilde{E}_n [E_{n+1}[S_3]] = E_n [S_3] = M_n$   
 $n \leq 3$

It is martingale.

Ex 2.6

$$E_n [I_{n+1} - I_n] = E_n [\Delta_n (M_{n+1} - M_n)] = \Delta_n \tilde{E}_n [M_{n+1} - M_n] = 0$$

then  $\tilde{E}_n [I_{n+1}] = I_n, \quad n \geq 0$